C	se 8:14-bk-11492-ES	Doc 666 Filed 0 Main Document	05/31/17 Entered 05/31/17 17:27:17 Desc Page 1 of 50			
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Linda F. Cantor (CA Bar PACHULSKI STANG 10100 Santa Monica Blass Los Angeles, California Telephone: 310-277-69 Facsimile: 310-201-07 Email: lcantor@pszjw. Counsel for Weneta M. The Tulving Company,	ZIEHL & JONES LI lvd., 13 th Floor a 90067-4100 10 60 .com A. Kosmala, Chapte Inc.	er 7 Trustee for			
8			ES BANKRUPTCY COURT			
9			STRICT OF CALIFORNIA A ANA DIVISION			
11	In re:	SAIT	Case No.: 8:14-bk-11492-ES			
12	THE TULVING COM	PANV INC a	Chapter 7			
13	California corporation,	171111, 111C., u	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR ORDER (A) APPROVING AND AUTHORIZING THE TRUSTEE AND DEPTOR TO ENTER INTO			
14		Debtor.				
15 16 17 18 19 20 21			DEBTOR TO ENTER INTO SUPPLEMENTAL CONSENT ORDER ASSESSING RESTITUTION AND CIVIL MONETARY PENALTY AGAINST DEFENDANTS THE TULVING COMPANY, INC. AND HANNES TULVING, JR. (B) AUTHORIZING HANNES TULVING, JR. TO EXECUTE THE SUPPLEMENTAL CONSENT ORDER WITH THE UNITED STATES COMMODITY FUTURE TRADING COMMISSION ON BEHALF OF THE DEBTOR, AND (C) FOR RELATED RELIEF PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 105 AND 362 OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE;			
22	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT THEREOF;					
23			DECLARATION OF WENETA M. A. KOSMALA			
24 25			[NO HEARING REQUIRED PURSUANT TO L.B.R. 9013-1]			
26	TO THE HONORAB	LE ERITHE SMIT	H, UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE, THE			
27	OFFICE OF THE UN	HTED STATES TR	CUSTEE, PARTIES THAT HAVE FILED			
28	REQUESTS FOR SP	ECIAL NOTICE, A	AND OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES:			
	DOCS_LA:305974.1 59935/002		1			

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP ATORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Weneta M. A. Kosmala, the duly appointed chapter 7 trustee (the "Trustee") for The Tulving Company, Inc. (the "Debtor"), in the above-entitled chapter 7 case, hereby files this motion (the "Motion") for the entry of an order or orders, pursuant to Sections 105 and 362 of Title 11 of the United States Code, 11 U.S.C. § 101 et seq. (the "Bankruptcy Code"), (a) approving and authorizing the Debtor to enter into the Supplemental Consent Order Assessing Restitution And Civil Monetary Penalty Against Defendants The Tulving Company, Inc. and Hannes Tulving, Jr. (the "Supplemental Consent Order") by and among the U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission (the "CFTC"), the Debtor and Hannes Tulving, Jr. ("Hannes Tulving"), the Debtor's sole shareholder (collectively, the "Parties"); (b) authorizing Hannes Tulving, on behalf of the Debtor, to sign the Supplemental Consent Order on behalf of the Debtor, and (c) for related relief. A copy of the Supplemental Consent Order is appended hereto as Exhibit A.

The Supplemental Consent Order imposes an award of a civil monetary penalty against the Debtor and Hannes Tulying in the amount of \$15,761,432, subordinated to the payment of all claims of the Debtor's customers as described below. The imposition of subordinated civil penalties by the CFTC against the Debtor and Hannes Tulving was authorized by the Bankruptcy Court under the Consent Order for Permanent Injunction and Other Relief Against the Tulving Company, Inc. and Hannes Tulving, Jr. (the "Consent Order") entered December 17, 2015 [Dkt. No. 305). The Consent Order was approved by Order of the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina (the "NC District Court") on January 4, 2016, and entered January 5, 2016. A copy of the Consent Order is appended hereto as **Exhibit B**.

In the Consent Order, the Debtor and Hannes Tulving (a) admitted to certain violations of the Commodity Exchange Act, 7 U.S.C. § § 1-26 (2012), and the Commissions' Regulations promulgated thereunder, (b) consented to a permanent injunction against the conduct described therein, and (c) agreed to the payment of restitution, disgorgement and civil monetary penalties, plus interest, if ordered by the NC District Court. The Supplemental Consent Order imposes the civil penalty described in, and authorized by the Consent Order. Paragraph 17 of the Consent Order

¹ The Consent Order resolved the Complaint Against The Tulving Company, Inc. and Hannes Tulving, Jr. for Permanent Injunction, Civil Penalties, and Other Equitable Relief filed by the CFTC in case number 3:15 - cv-424-RJC-DSC, pending in the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina.

expressly provides that any civil penalty imposed by the District Court be subordinated to claims of customers of the Debtor and other senior classes of creditors including general unsecured creditors, in the Debtor's bankruptcy case pursuant to Section 726(a)(4) of the Bankruptcy Code.

The Trustee, in the exercise of her business judgment, requests approval of the Supplemental Consent Order and authorization for Hannes Tulving's execution of same on behalf of the Debtor, as fair and reasonable and in the best interests of the Estate. Entry into the Consent Order avoided unnecessary, time consuming and costly multi-district disputes among the Debtor, Hannes Tulving and the CTFC. Entry into the Supplemental Consent Order implements the terms of the Consent Order already approved by this Court, as does the grant of relief from the automatic stay to impose the penalty claim on behalf of the CFTC.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the Motion is based on this Notice and Motion, the accompanying Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the Declaration of Weneta M. A. Kosmala that is attached to the Motion, and any other admissible evidence properly brought before the Court.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that Local Bankruptcy Rule 9013-1(o)(1) requires that any response to the Motion and a request for a hearing thereon be filed with the Bankruptcy Court and served upon Trustee's counsel at the address appearing on the upper-left hand corner of the caption page to this Motion and the Office of the U.S. Trustee within fourteen (14) days of the date of service of the Motion. Pursuant to Local Bankruptcy Rule 9013-1(h), the failure to timely file and serve written opposition may be deemed by the Court to be consent to the granting of the relief requested in the Motion.

Case 8:14-bk-11492-ES Doc 666 Filed 05/31/17 Entered 05/31/17 17:27:17 Desc Main Document Page 4 of 50

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

WHEREFORE, the Trustee respectfully requests that the Court (a) approve and authorize
the Debtor to enter into the Supplemental Consent Order, including taking all actions necessary to
implement same, (b) approve the execution of the Supplemental Consent Order by Hannes Tulving,
on behalf of the Debtor, (c) grant relief from the automatic stay for the imposition of the
subordinated penalty claim by the NC District Court under the Consent Order, and (d) grant such
other and further relief as is just and proper under the circumstances.

Dated: May 31, 2017

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP

By /s/ Linda F. Cantor Linda F. Cantor

Counsel for R. Weneta M.A. Kosmala, Chapter 7 Trustee of The Tulving Company, Inc.

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. The Background of the Debtor's Business

The Tulving Company, Inc. ("<u>Debtor</u>") is a California corporation. The Debtor was in the business of selling and purchasing gold, silver, coins, bullion, and other precious metals through its internet website or by phone. Prior to the filing of the bankruptcy, customer complaints concerning delayed or undelivered orders were increasingly made to the Better Business Bureau against the Debtor and in early March, 2014, a class-action lawsuit was filed against the Debtor and Hannes Tulving, Jr., the Debtor's sole shareholder and principal ("<u>Hannes Tulving</u>") in the United States District Court, Northern District of California. A criminal investigation of the Debtor and Tulving by the Government was also being pursued, as described below. The Debtor ceased operations on or about March 3, 2014.

B. Procedural Background of the Bankruptcy Case

The Debtor commenced this case by the filing of a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code on March 10, 2014. In light of the pending criminal investigation and other ongoing litigation against the Debtor, on March 18, 2014, the United States Trustee filed a *Stipulation Appointing Chapter 11 Trustee* [Docket No. 15] (the "Stipulation"), which was signed by both the Debtor and its attorney. The Stipulation was approved by the Bankruptcy Court on March 18, 2014 [Docket No. 16] and an Order was entered by the Court on March 21, 2014 approving the *U.S. Trustee's Application for the Appointment of a Chapter 11 Trustee, appointing R. Todd Neilson as Trustee of the Debtor's estate* [Docket No. 22]. Thereafter upon notice and hearing, the case was converted to a chapter 7 and R. Todd Neilson was appointed as the chapter 7 Trustee [Docket 108]. On March 22, 2016, Mr. Neilson filed his Withdrawal of Trustee [Docket 564]. The UST filed its *Notice of Appointment of Trustee and Fixing of Bond; Acceptance of Appointment as Trustee* dated April 1, 2016 [Docket 566], appointing Weneta M. A. Kosmala as the chapter 7 trustee of the Debtor's estate.

C. The Criminal Case

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

On March 8, 2014, Special Agents of the United States Secret Service executed a Search Warrant on the Debtor's offices on probable cause that the Debtor and Hannes Tulving were engaged in fraud. The Search Warrant resulted in the seizure of the Debtor's property including rare coins and other valuable items. Criminal proceedings against the Debtor and Hannes Tulving ensued before the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina (the "Criminal Case").

Hannes Tulving entered into a Plea Agreement in the Criminal Case. Pursuant to Order of this Court entered July 22, 2015 [Docket No. 264], Hannes Tulving was authorized to sign on behalf of the Debtor, the Plea Agreement and a "Coordination Agreement for Disbursement of Seized Items from United States to Bankruptcy Trustee and from Trustee to Victims" in the Criminal Case. (Under the Plea Agreement, the Debtor and Hannes Tulving each plead guilty to 18 U.S.C. § 1343 wire fraud charge as set forth in Count One of a Bill of Information in the Criminal Case.)

On or about September 11, 2015, the U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission (the "CFTC") filed a "Complaint Against The Tulving Company, Inc. and Hannes Tulving, Jr. for Permanent Injunction, Civil Penalties, and Other Equitable Relief" (the "Complaint"), alleging violations of the Commodity Exchange Act, 7 U.S.C. §§ 1-26 (2012) (the "Act") and the Commission's Regulations ("Regulations") promulgated thereunder, 17 C.F.R. §§ 1.1-190.10 (2013) in case number 3:15 – cv-424-RJC-DSC, pending in the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina (the "NC District Court"). The grounds for the Complaint were based upon substantially the same operative facts underlying the Debtor's and Hannes Tulving's guilty plea in the Criminal Case referenced above, but the charges are civil and not criminal.

To consensually resolve the Complaint, the CFTC, the Debtor and Hannes Tulving entered into that certain Consent Order of Permanent Injunction and Other Relief Against The Tulving Company, Inc. and Hannes Tulving, Jr. (the "Consent Order"), which was approved by order of the Bankruptcy Court entered December 17, 2015 [Dkt. No. 305], and by order of the NC District Court entered January 5, 2016. Hannes Tulving executed the Consent Order on behalf of the Debtor

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

pursuant to order of this Court. A copy of the Consent Order is appended to the Motion as Exhibit В.

Under the Consent Order, Hannes Tulving and the Debtor admitted to the violations alleged in the Complaint, consented to a permanent injunction against the conduct described therein and agreed to the payment of restitution, disgorgement and civil monetary penalties, plus interest, if ordered by the NC District Court. The payment of disgorgement and civil penalties, plus interest, under the Consent Order was subordinated to the payment of all claims of the Debtor's customers.

The Consent Order further provided that upon the NC District Court determination of the amounts of restitution, disgorgement and / or civil monetary penalty and the procedures for payment and distribution of these monetary sanctions, the restitution, disgorgement or monetary civil penalties would be subject to further court order upon: (1) motion of the parties submitting to the NC District Court a proposed consent order setting out their agreement on the amounts of restitution, disgorgement and civil monetary penalty to be paid by Defendants in this matter; (2) motion by the CFTC; and/or (3) hearing before the NC District Court. The Supplemental Consent Order provides for the imposition of the penalty contemplated by the Consent Order and is expressly subject to approval by the Bankruptcy Court.

D. The Supplemental Consent Order

Under the Supplemental Consent Order, a copy of which is appended hereto as **Exhibit A**¹, the Debtor and Hannes Tulving (collectively, the "Defendants") consent and agree to (i) waive (a) claims they may possess under the Equal Access to Justice Act and/or rules promulgated by the CFTC in conformity therewith, (b) any and all claims they may possess under the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 relating to the Complaint, (c) any claim of Double Jeopardy based on the institution of the subject action and or the entry of any order imposing a civil monetary penalty, (d) any rights to appeal the subject action, (ii) make no public statements denying any allegation in the Complaint, (iii) not oppose enforcement of the Supplemental Consent Order, (iv) pay, jointly and severally, a civil monetary penalty in the amount of \$15,761,432, plus post

This is only a summary description of the terms of the Supplemental Consent Order. In the event of any inadvertent inconsistencies between this summary description and the terms of the Supplemental Consent Order, the terms of the Supplemental Consent Order shall govern.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

judgment interest; and (v) cooperate fully and expeditiously with the CFTC and other governmental agencies in the subject action or any current investigation, civil litigation or administrative matter related to the subject action or any future CFTC investigation related thereto.

II.

ENTRY INTO THE SUPPLEMENTAL CONSENT ORDER, SUBJECT TO FURTHER COURT APPROVAL, WAS AUTHORIZED BY THE CONSENT ORDER

This Court previously approved the Consent Order which set the foundation for the Supplemental Consent Order imposing a civil penalty against the Defendants. Due to the criminal nature of the proceedings against the Defendants, this Court also authorized Hannes Tulving, on behalf of himself and the Debtor, to enter into the Coordination Agreement with the federal government and the Consent Order with the CFTC. By this Motion, the Trustee requests that the Court approve the Supplemental Consent Order and authorize Hannes Tulving to sign the Supplemental Consent Order on behalf of himself and the Debtor. The Trustee believes that entry into the Supplemental Consent Order is fair and reasonable, and consistent with the resolution of the CFTC's Complaint through the terms of the Consent Order. Further, the Trustee is informed and believes that Hannes Tulving consents to the terms of the Supplemental Consent Order and to his execution of the Supplemental Consent Order on behalf of the Debtor.

III.

THE COURT SHOULD GRANT RELIEF FROM THE AUTOMATIC STAY TO IMPLEMENT THE TERMS OF THE SUPPLEMENTAL CONSENT ORDER

Section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code provides that a petition "operates as a stay, applicable to all entities, of--

- (1) the commencement or continuation, including the issuance or employment of process, of a judicial, administrative, or other action or proceeding against the debtor that was or could have been commenced before the commencement of the case under this title, or to recover a claim against the debtor that arose before the commencement of the case under this title;
- (3) any act to obtain possession of property of the estate or of property from the estate or to exercise control over property of the estate: [and]

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(6) any act to collect, assess, or recover a claim against the debtor that arose before the commencement of the case under this title....

11 U.S.C. § 362(a)(1), (3) and (6).

The provision of the Supplemental Consent Order imposing a civil penalty against the Debtor by its terms runs afoul of section 362(a). Accordingly, the Trustee requests that the stay under Section 362(a) of the Bankruptcy Code be lifted to permit the imposition of such penalty against the Debtor, subordinated to claims of all general unsecured creditors and other senior creditors as provided in the Supplemental Consent Order.

Section 362(d)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code provides that the automatic stay shall be lifted for cause . . . after notice and a hearing. See 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(1). Section 362(d)(1) provides in relevant part:

> On request of a party in interest and after notice and a hearing, the court shall grant relief from the stay provided under subsection (a) of this section, such as by terminating, annulling, modifying, or conditioning such stay –

(1) for cause...

11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(1).

The term "cause" reflects a flexible standard, taking into account the particular facts and circumstances of the particular issue before the Court. See In re Conejo Enters., Inc., 96 F.3d 346, 352 (9th Cir. 1996) (noting that "cause has no clear definition and is determined on a case-by-case basis") (internal citations and quotations omitted); In re Brotman Med. Ctr., Inc., 2008 WL 8444797, at *5 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. Aug. 15, 2008) (same); Delaney-Morin v. Day (In re Delaney-Morin), 304 B.R. 365, 369 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2003) (citation omitted); Baldino v. Wilson (In re Wilson), 116 F.3d 87, 90 (3d Cir. 1997) (noting that section 362(d)(1) "does not define 'cause,' leaving courts to consider what constitutes cause based on the totality of the circumstances in each particular case."). "The bankruptcy court generally has broad discretion in granting relief from stay for cause under § 362(d)." In re Edwards, 454 B.R. 100, 107 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2011). "Exercising discretion in determining cause for stay relief requires the balancing of hardships and consideration of totality of the circumstances." In re Avila, 1311 B.R. 81, 83-4 (Bankr. N.D. Cal. 2004) (citing In re Kennedy,

165 B.R. 488, 490 (Bankr. W.D. Wash. 1994)).

by the Debtor and Hannes Tulving in the Consent Order, approved by this Court in the Bankruptcy Approval Order. The Debtor and Hannes Tulving have pled guilty in the Criminal Case and have admitted liability under the CFTC Complaint. The restitution ordered against the Debtor in the Criminal Case is being addressed by the Coordination Order, which authorized the Trustee to distribute to Debtor's customers the assets that had been seized by the government and/or the proceeds thereof, subject to costs of administration. The Debtor and Hannes Tulving have also admitted to violations of the Commodities Exchange Act and related regulations, the punishment for

In this case, cause exists to lift the stay in order for the CFTC to impose the relief agreed to

which is the imposition of a civil penalty. Lifting the stay to allow the imposition of the civil penalty will have no adverse effect on the Debtor's creditors, as no payment will be required under the

Supplemental Consent Order by the Debtor unless and until all customer and senior claims in this case have been fully satisfied. Based on the foregoing, the Trustee believes that ample cause exists

to lift the automatic stay.

IV.

CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, the Trustee respectfully requests that the Court (a) approve and authorize the Debtor to enter into the Supplemental Consent Order, including taking all actions necessary to implement same, (b) approve the execution of the Supplemental Consent Order by Hannes Tulving, on behalf of the Debtor, (c) grant relief from the automatic stay to allow the imposition of the

С	ase 8:14-	bk-11492-ES	Doc 666 Main Do	Filed 05/ ocument	31/17 Page	Entered 11 of 50	05/31/17	17:27:17	Desc
1	subordi	nated civil pena	alty claim by	the CFTC	under t	he Supplen	nental Cor	isent Order,	and (d) grant
2	such otl	her and further	relief as is ju	ust and prop	er unde	er the circu	mstances.		
3	Dated:	May 31, 201	7	PAC	HULSI	KI STANG	ZIEHL &	JONES LI	LP .
4					/ / * •	1 7 0			
5				Ву	Linda	<i>nda F. Can</i> a F. Cantor	tor		
6					Coun	sel for We	neta M.A.	Kosmala, C	Chapter 7
7					1 rust	ee of the T	ulving Co	mpany, Inc.	
8									
9									
10	Accessed to the second								
11	Mar.								
12									
13	i de la composition della comp								
14									
15									
16	- Delay in								
17					,				
18									
19									
20									
21				•					
22 23									
24									
25									
26									
27									
28									

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

DECLARATION OF WENETA M. A. KOSMALA

I, Weneta M. A. Kosmala, declare as follows:

- I am the duly appointed chapter 7 trustee (the "Trustee") in the above-captioned bankruptcy case (the "Case"). I make this Declaration on facts within my personal knowledge (albeit my own or that gathered by professionals rendering services to me), or as a result of having reviewed the court file in this Case. If called upon, I can and will competently testify to the facts stated herein.
- I make this declaration in support of the Notice Of Motion And Motion For Order (A) 2. Approving And Authorizing The Trustee And Debtor To Enter Into Supplemental Consent Order Assessing Restitution And Civil Monetary Penalty Against Defendants The Tulving Company, Inc. And Hannes Tulving, Jr. (B) Authorizing Hannes Tulving, Jr. To Execute The Consent Order With The United States Commodity Future Trading Commission On Behalf Of The Debtor, And (C) For Related Relief Pursuant To Sections 105 And 362 Of The Bankruptcy Code (the "Motion"). Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.
- 3. The Tulving Company, Inc. is a California corporation. The Debtor was in the business of selling and purchasing gold, silver, coins, bullion, and other precious metals through its internet website or by phone. Prior to the filing of the bankruptcy, customer complaints concerning delayed or undelivered orders were increasingly made to the Better Business Bureau against the Debtor and in early March, 2014, a class-action lawsuit was filed against the Debtor and Hannes Tulving, Jr., the Debtor's sole shareholder and principal in the United States District Court, Northern District of California. A criminal investigation of the Debtor and Tulving by the Government was also being pursued, as described below. The Debtor ceased operations on or about March 3, 2014.
- 4. The Debtor commenced this case by the filing of a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code on March 10, 2014. In light of the pending criminal investigation and other ongoing litigation against the Debtor, on March 18, 2014, the United States Trustee filed a Stipulation Appointing Chapter 11 Trustee [Docket No. 15], which was signed by both the Debtor and its attorney. The Stipulation was approved by the Bankruptcy Court on March 18, 2014 [Docket No. 16] and an Order was entered by the Court on March 21, 2014 approving the

U.S. Trustee's Application for the Appointment of a Chapter 11 Trustee, appointing R. Todd Neilson
as Trustee of the Debtor's estate [Docket No. 22]. Thereafter upon notice and hearing, the case was
converted to a chapter 7 and R. Todd Neilson was appointed as the chapter 7 Trustee [Docket 108].
On March 22, 2016, Mr. Neilson filed his Withdrawal of Trustee [Docket 564]. The UST filed its
Notice of Appointment of Trustee and Fixing of Bond; Acceptance of Appointment as Trustee dated
April 1, 2016 [Docket 566], appointing me to serve as the successor chapter 7 trustee of the Debtor's
estate.

- 5. I am informed and believe that on March 8, 2014, Special Agents of the United States Secret Service executed a Search Warrant on the Debtor's offices on probable cause that the Debtor and Hannes Tulving were engaged in fraud. The Search Warrant resulted in the seizure of the Debtor's property including rare coins and other valuable items. Criminal proceedings against the Debtor and Hannes Tulving ensued before the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina.
- 6. Hannes Tulving entered into a Plea Agreement in the Criminal Case. Pursuant to Order of this Court entered July 22, 2015 [Docket No. 264], Hannes Tulving was authorized to sign on behalf of the Debtor, the Plea Agreement and a "Coordination Agreement for Disbursement of Seized Items from United States to Bankruptcy Trustee and from Trustee to Victims" in the Criminal Case. (Under the Plea Agreement, the Debtor and Hannes Tulving each plead guilty to 18 U.S.C. § 1343 wire fraud charge as set forth in Count One of a Bill of Information in the Criminal Case.)
- 7. On or about September 11, 2015, the U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission filed a "Complaint Against The Tulving Company, Inc. and Hannes Tulving, Jr. for Permanent Injunction, Civil Penalties, and Other Equitable Relief", alleging violations of the Commodity Exchange Act, 7 U.S.C. §§ 1-26 (2012) and the Commission's Regulations promulgated thereunder, 17 C.F.R. §§ 1.1-190.10 (2013) in case number 3:15 cv-424-RJC-DSC, pending in the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina. The grounds for the Complaint were based upon substantially the same operative facts underlying the Debtor's and Hannes Tulving's guilty plea in the Criminal Case referenced above, but the charges are civil and not criminal.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- To consensually resolve the Complaint, the CFTC, the Debtor and Hannes Tulving 8. entered into that certain Consent Order of Permanent Injunction and Other Relief Against The Tulving Company, Inc. and Hannes Tulving, Jr., which was approved by order of the Bankruptcy Court entered December 17, 2015 [Dkt. No. 305], and by order of the NC District Court entered January 5, 2016. Hannes Tulving executed the Consent Order on behalf of the Debtor pursuant to order of this Court.
- 9. Under the Consent Order, Hannes Tulving and the Debtor admitted to the violations alleged in the Complaint, consented to a permanent injunction against the conduct described therein and agreed to the payment of restitution, disgorgement and civil monetary penalties, plus interest, if ordered by the NC District Court. The payment of disgorgement and civil penalties, plus interest, under the Consent Order was subordinated to the payment of all claims of the Debtor's customers. A copy of the Consent Order is appended to the Motion as **Exhibit B**.
- The Consent Order further provided that upon the NC District Court determination of 10. the amounts of restitution, disgorgement and / or civil monetary penalty and the procedures for payment and distribution of these monetary sanctions, the restitution, disgorgement or monetary civil penalties would be subject to further court order upon: (1) motion of the parties submitting to the NC District Court a proposed consent order setting out their agreement on the amounts of restitution, disgorgement and civil monetary penalty to be paid by Defendants in this matter; (2) motion by the CFTC; and/or (3) hearing before the NC District Court. The Supplemental Consent Order provides for the imposition of the penalties contemplated by the Consent Order and is expressly subject to approval by the Bankruptcy Court. A copy of the Supplemental Consent Order is appended to the Motion as Exhibit A.
- 11. Under the Supplemental Consent Order, the Debtor and Hannes Tulving consent and agree to (i) waive (a) claims they may possess under the Equal Access to Justice Act and/or rules promulgated by the CFTC in conformity therewith, (b) any and all claims they may possess under the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 relating to the Complaint, (c) and claim of Double Jeopardy based on the institution of the subject action and or the entry of any order imposing a civil monetary penalty, (d) any rights to appeal the subject action, (ii) make no public

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

statements denying any allegation in the Complaint, (iii) not oppose enforcement of the Supplemental Consent Order, (iv) pay, jointly and severally, a civil monetary penalty in the amount of \$15,761,432, plus post judgment interest; and (v) cooperate fully and expeditiously with the CFTC and other governmental agencies in the subject action or any current investigation, civil litigation or administrative matter related to the subject action or any future CFTC investigation related thereto.

- This Court previously approved the Consent Order which set the foundation for the 12. Supplemental Consent Order imposing a civil penalty against the Defendants. 1 Due to the criminal nature of the proceedings against the Defendants, this Court also authorized Hannes Tulving, on behalf of himself and the Debtor, to enter into the Coordination Agreement with the federal government and the Consent Order with the CFTC. By this Motion, I am requesting that the Court approve the Supplemental Consent Order and authorize Hannes Tulving to sign the Supplemental Consent Order on behalf of himself and the Debtor. In the exercise of my business judgment as Trustee, I believe that entry into the Supplemental Consent Order is fair and reasonable, and consistent with the resolution of the CFTC's Complaint through the terms of the Consent Order. Further, I am informed and believe that Hannes Tulving consents to the terms of the Supplemental Consent Order and to his execution of the Supplemental Consent Order on behalf of the Debtor.
- In this case, I believe that cause to lift the stay exists in order for the CFTC to impose 13. the relief agreed to by the Debtor and Hannes Tulving in the Consent Order, as approved by this Court under the Bankruptcy Approval Order. The Debtor and Hannes Tulving have pled guilty in the Criminal Case and have admitted liability under the CFTC Complaint. The restitution ordered against the Debtor in the Criminal Case is being addressed by the Coordination Order, which authorized the Trustee, on behalf of the Estate, to distribute to Debtor's customers the assets that had been seized by the government and/or the proceeds thereof, subject to costs of case

²⁶

²⁷ 28

¹ See Order Approving Motion For Order (A) Approving And Authorizing The Trustee To Enter Into The Consent Order For Permanent Injunction And Other Relief Against The Tulving Company, Inc. And Hannes Tulving, Jr. (B) Authorizing Hannes Tulving, Jr. To Execute The Consent Order With The United States Commodity Futures Trading Commission On Behalf Of The Debtor, And (C) For Related Relief Pursuant To Sections 105 And 362 Of The Bankruptcy Code And Bankruptcy Rule 9019 [Dkt. No. 305] (the "Bankruptcy Approval Order").

27

28

administration. The Debtor and Hannes Tulving have also admitted to violations of the Commodities Exchange Act and related regulations, the punishment for which I understand is the imposition of a civil penalty. Lifting the stay to allow the imposition of the civil penalty will have no adverse effect on the Debtor's creditors, as no payment will be required under the Supplemental Consent Order by the Debtor unless and until all customer and senior claims in this case have been fully satisfied. Based on the foregoing, I believe that ample cause exists to lift the automatic stay and to grant the Motion in its entirety.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 30th day of May 2017, at Santa Ana, California.

Weneta M. A. Kosmala

Exhibit A

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

UNITED STATES COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION, Plaintiff,))) Case No. 3:15-cv-424-RJC-DSC)
v.	<i>)</i>
THE TULVING COMPANY, INC. and HANNES TULVING, JR.,)))
Defendants.)))

SUPPLEMENTAL CONSENT ORDER ASSESSING RESTITUTION AND CIVIL MONETARY PENALTY AGAINST DEFENDANTS

I. BACKGROUND

- On September 11, 2015, Plaintiff United States Commodity Futures Trading Commission ("CFTC") filed a Complaint against Defendants The Tulving Company, Inc. ("Tulving Company") and Hannes Tulving, Jr. ("Tulving") for Permanent Injunction, Civil Penalties, and Other Equitable Relief, for violations of the Commodity Exchange Act ("Act"), 7 U.S.C. §§ 1-26 (2012), and the Commission's Regulations ("Regulations") promulgated thereunder, 17 C.F.R. § 1.1-190.10 (2013). (Docket Entry ("D.E.") #1). The Complaint alleges that Defendants committed fraud by making material false representations to their customers and misappropriating their funds.
- 2. On January 5, 2016, the Court entered a Consent Order of Permanent Injunction ("Consent Order"). (D.E. #11). The Consent Order provides that Defendants admit and agree that "the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in this Consent Order shall be taken

Case 8:14-bk-11492-ES Doc 666 Filed 05/31/17 Entered 05/31/17 17:27:17 Desc Main Document Page 19 of 50

as true and correct [and] be given preclusive effect, without further proof...." See Consent Order at ¶11.

- 3. Pursuant to the Consent Order, Defendants agree to pay restitution and a civil monetary penalty, plus post-judgment interest. *See* Consent Order at ¶¶14-16. The Consent Order provides that amount of monetary sanctions will be determined by "subsequent consent order or motion by the CFTC and/or hearing before this court." *See Id*.
- 4. On May 18, 2016, the Court entered criminal judgments against both Defendants in a related criminal proceeding after they each pleaded guilty to one count of wire fraud and aiding and abetting in violation of 18 U.S.C. §1343 and 2. *See U.S.A. v. Tulving et al*, No. 3:15-cr-00115-MOC (W.D.N.C. 2016). (D.E. #43) The criminal judgments provide that Tulving serve 30 months in prison and Tulving Company be placed on probation for 2 years. The judgments also direct that Tulving and Tulving Company shall be jointly and severally liable for payment of restitution in the amount of \$15,761,432.63 to specified victims.

II. CONSENTS AND AGREEMENTS

To resolve the remaining issues of the amounts of restitution and civil monetary penalties (as provided in the Consent Order), without any further judicial proceedings, Defendant Tulving Company, subject to the approval the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California, Santa Ana Division, Case No. 14-11492 ("Bankruptcy Case"), and Defendant Tulving:

- 5. Consent to the entry of this Supplemental Consent Order Assessing Restitution and Civil Monetary Penalties Against Defendants ("Supplemental Consent Order");
- 6. Affirm that they have read and agreed to this Supplemental Consent Order voluntarily, and that no promise, other than as specifically contained herein, or threat, has been

made by the CFTC or any member, officer, agent or representative thereof, or by any other person, to induce consent to this Supplemental Consent Order;

- 7. Acknowledge service of the summons and Complaint;
- 8. Admit the jurisdiction of this Court over them and the subject matter of this action pursuant to Section 6c of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1 (2012);
- 9. Admit the jurisdiction of the CFTC over the conduct and transactions at issue in this action pursuant to Section 6c of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1 (2012);
- 10. Admit that venue properly lies with this Court pursuant to Section 6c(e) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1(e) (2012);
 - 11. Waive:
- (a) Any and all claims that they may possess under the Equal Access to Justice Act, 5 U.S.C. § 504 (2012) and 28 U.S.C. § 2412 (2012), and/or the rules promulgated by the CFTC in conformity therewith, Part 148 of the Regulations, 17 C.F.R. §§ 148.1-148.30 (2016), relating to, or arising from, this action;
- (b) Any and all claims that they may possess under the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-121, §§ 201-253, 110 Stat. 847, 857-868 (1996), as amended by Pub. L. No. 110-28, § 8302, 121 Stat. 112, 204-205 (2007), relating to, or arising from, this action;
- (c) Any claim of Double Jeopardy based upon the institution of this action or the entry in this action of any order imposing a civil monetary penalty or any other relief, including this Supplemental Consent Order; and
 - (d) Any and all rights of appeal from this action;

- 12. Consent to the continued jurisdiction of this Court over them for the purpose of enforcing the terms and conditions of the Consent Order and Supplemental Consent Order and for any other purpose relevant to this action even if Defendants now or in the future reside outside the jurisdiction of this Court;
- 13. Agree that they will not oppose enforcement of this Supplemental Consent Order by alleging that it fails to comply with Rule 65(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and waives any objection based thereon;
- 14. Agree that neither they nor any of their agents or employees under their authority or control shall take any action or make any public statement denying, directly or indirectly, any allegation in the Complaint, or creating or tending to create the impression that the Complaint and/or this Supplemental Consent Order is without a factual basis; provided, however, that nothing in this provision shall affect their: (a) testimonial obligations, or (b) right to take legal positions in other proceedings to which the CFTC is not a party. Defendants shall undertake all steps necessary to ensure that their agents or employees under their authority or control understand and comply with this agreement;
- 15. Agree to provide immediate notice to this Court and the CFTC by certified mail of any bankruptcy proceeding filed by, on behalf of, or against either of them, whether inside or outside the United States: and
- 16. Agree that no provision of this Supplemental Consent Order shall in any way limit or impair the ability of any other person or entity to seek any legal or equitable remedy against Defendants in any other proceeding.
- 17. The Court, being fully advised in the premises, finds there is good cause for entry of this Supplemental Consent Order and that there is no just reason for delay. The Court

therefore directs the entry of ancillary equitable relief pursuant to Section 6c of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1 (2012), as set forth herein.

V. RESTITUTION AND CIVIL MONETARY PENALTY

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that DEFENDANTS shall comply fully with the following terms, conditions, and obligations relating to the payment of restitution and a civil monetary penalty:

A. Restitution

18. Defendants' violations of the Act and Regulations merit the award of restitution. However, this Court recognizes that in a related criminal action, entitled *U.S.A. v. Tulving et al*, No. 3:15-cr-00115-MOC (W.D.N.C. 2016), Defendants are ordered jointly and severally to pay restitution in the amount of \$15,761,432 to the defrauded investors of Defendants in connection with the same conduct at issue in this action. Accordingly, restitution is not ordered in this action.

B. Civil Monetary Penalty

- 19. Defendants shall pay, jointly and severally, a civil monetary penalty in the amount of \$15,761,432 ("CMP Obligation"), plus post-judgment interest. Post-judgment interest shall accrue on the CMP Obligation beginning on the date of entry of this Consent Order and shall be determined by using the Treasury Bill rate prevailing on the date of entry of this Consent Order pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961 (2012).
- 20. Defendants shall pay their CMP Obligation by electronic funds transfer, U.S. postal money order, certified check, bank cashier's check, or bank money order. If payment is to be made other than by electronic funds transfer, then the payment shall be made payable to the CFTC and sent to the address below:

Commodity Futures Trading Commission
Division of Enforcement
ATTN: Accounts Receivables
DOT/FAA/MMAC/AMZ-341CFTC/CPSC/SEC
500 S. MacArthur Blyd. Oklahoma City, OK 73169
(405) 954-7262 office
(405) 954-1620 fax
nikki.gibson@faa.gov

If payment by electronic funds transfer is chosen, Defendants shall contact Nikki Gibson or her successor at the address above to receive payment instructions and shall fully comply with those instructions. Defendants shall accompany payment of the CMP Obligation with a cover letter that identifies Defendants and the name and docket number of this proceeding. Defendants shall simultaneously transmit copies of the cover letter and the form of payment to the Chief Financial Officer, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Three Lafayette Centre, 1155 21st Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20581.

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary herein, the CMP Obligations shall be accorded the priority under section 726(a)(4) of Title 11 of the United States Code ("Bankruptcy Code"), 11 U.S.C. § 726(a)(4), and shall accordingly be subordinated to claims of customers of the Tulving Company and other senior classes of creditors, including general unsecured creditors, in the Tulving Company Bankruptcy Proceeding pursuant to Section 726(a)(4) of the Bankruptcy Code. The CMP Obligations shall be payable by the Tulving Company only to the extent any funds are left in the Tulving Company Bankruptcy estate after satisfaction of all customer claims, all general unsecured claims and all other claims senior to a penalty pursuant to section 726 of the Bankruptcy Code. For greater certainty, all contractually subordinated claims are senior to the CMP Obligations unless otherwise expressly subordinated to penalties of the type described in Section 726(a)(4) of the Bankruptcy Code.

C. Provisions Related to Monetary Sanctions

21. Partial Satisfaction: Any acceptance by the CFTC of any partial payment of Defendants' CMP Obligation shall not be deemed a waiver of their obligation to make further payments pursuant to this Supplemental Consent Order, or a waiver of the CFTC's right to seek to compel payment of any remaining balance.

D. Cooperation

22. Defendants shall cooperate fully and expeditiously with the CFTC, including the CFTC's Division of Enforcement, and any other governmental agency in this action, and in any investigation, civil litigation, or administrative matter related to the subject matter of this action or any current or future CFTC investigation related thereto.

V. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

23. Notice: All notices required to be given by any provision in this Supplemental Consent Order shall be sent certified mail, return receipt requested, as follows:

Notice to CFTC:

Director
Division of Enforcement
U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission
1155 21st Street, NW
Washington, DC 20581

Notice to Defendants:

Hannes Tulving, Jr. and/or The Tulving Company c/o James Wyatt Wyatt & Blake L.L.P. 435 East Morehead Street Charlotte, NC 28202

All such notices shall reference the name and docket number of this action.

- 24. Change of Address/Phone: Until such time as Defendants satisfy in full their CMP Obligation as set forth in this Supplemental Consent Order, Defendants shall provide written notice to the CFTC by certified mail of any change to their telephone numbers and mailing addresses within ten (10) calendar days of the change.
- 25. Entire Agreement and Amendments: The Consent Order and Supplemental Consent Order incorporate all of the terms and conditions of the settlement among the parties hereto to date. Nothing shall serve to amend or modify the Consent Order and Supplemental Consent Order in any respect whatsoever, unless: (a) reduced to writing; (b) signed by all parties hereto; and (c) approved by order of this Court.
- 26. Invalidation: If any provision of this Supplemental Consent Order or if the application of any provision or circumstance is held invalid, then the remainder of this Supplemental Consent Order and the application of the provision to any other person or circumstance shall not be affected by the holding.
- 27. Waiver: The failure of any party to this Supplemental Consent Order at any time to require performance of any provision of this Consent Order shall in no manner affect the right of the party at a later time to enforce the same or any other provision of this Supplemental Consent Order. No waiver in one or more instances of the breach of any provision contained in this Supplemental Consent Order shall be deemed to be or construed as a further or continuing waiver of such breach or waiver of the breach of any other provision of this Supplemental Consent Order.
- 28. Authority: Entry into this Consent Order by Hannes Tulving, Jr. on behalf of Tulving Company is subject to the authorization of the United States Bankruptcy Court in the Bankruptcy Case.

Case 8:14-bk-11492-ES Doc 666 Filed 05/31/17 Entered 05/31/17 17:27:17 Desc Main Document Page 26 of 50

29. Continuing Jurisdiction of this Court: This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this action to ensure compliance with this Supplemental Consent Order and for all other purposes related to this action.

30. Counterparts and Facsimile Execution: This Supplemental Consent Order may be executed in two or more counterparts, all of which shall be considered one and the same agreement and shall become effective when one or more counterparts have been signed by each of the parties hereto and delivered (by facsimile, e-mail, or otherwise) to the other party, it being understood that all parties need not sign the same counterpart. Any counterpart or other signature to this supplemental Consent Order that is delivered by any means shall be deemed for all purposes as constituting good and valid execution and delivery by such party of this Supplemental Consent Order.

There being no just reason for delay, the Clerk of the Court is hereby directed to enter this Supplemental Consent Order Assessing Restitution And A Civil Monetary Penalty Against Defendants.

IT IS SO ORDERED on this	_day of,	
	MAX O. COGBURN, JR.	
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JU	DGE

CONSENTED	TO	AND	APPR	OVED	BY:

Hannes Tulving, Jr.	Luke Marsh
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Richard Foelber
The Tulving Company, Inc.	Attorneys for U.S. Commodity Futures Trading
By Hannes Tulving, Jr. as President	Commission
- y	1155 21 st Street, NW
Date:	Washington, DC 20581
	202-418-5000
	Date:
APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
T YYY	- .
James Wyatt	

James Wyatt
Attorney for Hannes Tulving, Jr
and the Tulving Company
Wyatt & Blake L.L.P.
435 East Morehead Street
Charlotte, NC 28202
704-331-0767

Date: _____

Exhibit B

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA CHARLOTTE DIVISION 3:15-cv-424-RJC-DSC

UNITED STATES COMMODITY)
FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.) <u>ORDER</u>
)
THE TULVING COMPANY, INC. and)
HANNES TULVING, JR.,)
)
Defendants.)
)

CONSENT ORDER OF PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND OTHER RELIEF AGAINST THE TULVING COMPANY, INC.AND HANNES TULVING, JR.

On September 11, 2015, Plaintiff U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission (the "Commission" or "CFTC") filed a Complaint against The Tulving Company, Inc. ("Tulving Company") and Hannes Tulving, Jr. ("Tulving") (Tulving Company and Tulving collectively, "Defendants") for Permanent Injunction, Civil Penalties, and Other Equitable Relief, for violations of the Commodity Exchange Act ("Act"), 7 U.S.C. §§ 1-26 (2012), and the Commission's Regulations ("Regulations") promulgated thereunder, 17 C.F.R. §§ 1.1-190.10 (2013). (Doc. No. 1).

I. CONSENTS AND AGREEMENTS

To effect partial settlement of the matters alleged in the Complaint against Defendants without a trial on the merits or any further judicial proceedings, Defendant Tulving and Defendant Tulving Company, subject to the approval the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California, Santa Ana Division, as Case No. 14-11492 ("Bankruptcy

Case"):

- 1. Consent to the entry of this Consent Order of Permanent Injunction and Other Relief Against Tulving Company, Inc. and Hannes Tulving, Jr. ("Consent Order");
- 2. Affirm that they have read and agreed to this Consent Order voluntarily, and that no promise, other than as specifically contained herein, or threat, has been made by the CFTC or any member, officer, agent or representative thereof, or by any other person, to induce consent to this Consent Order;
 - 3. Acknowledge service of the summons and Complaint;
- 4. Admit the jurisdiction of this Court over them and the subject matter of this action pursuant to Section 6c of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1 (2012);
- 5. Admit the jurisdiction of the CFTC over the conduct and transactions at issue in this action pursuant to the Act, 7 U.S.C. §§ 1-26 (2012);
- 6. Admit that venue properly lies with this Court pursuant to Section 6c(e) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1(e) (2012);

7. Waive:

- a. Any and all claims that they may possess under the Equal Access to Justice Act, 5 U.S.C. § 504 (2012) and 28 U.S.C. § 2412 (2012), and/or the rules promulgated by the CFTC in conformity therewith, Part 148 of the Regulations, 17 C.F.R. §§ 148.1-148.30 (2014), relating to, or arising from, this action;
- b. Any and all claims that they may possess under the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-121, §§ 201-253, 110 Stat. 847, 857-868 (1996), as amended by Pub. L. No. 110-28, § 8302, 121 Stat. 112, 204-205 (2007), relating to, or arising from, this action;

- c. Any claim of Double Jeopardy based upon the institution of this action or the entry in this action of any order imposing a civil monetary penalty or any other relief, including this Consent Order; and
- d. Any and all rights of appeal from this Consent Order.
- 8. Consent to the continued jurisdiction of this Court over them for the purpose of implementing and carrying out the terms and conditions of all orders and decrees, including orders setting the appropriate amounts of restitution, disgorgement and civil monetary penalty (subject to the provisions set forth herein with respect to Tulving Company), that may be entered herein, to entertain any suitable application or motion for additional relief within the jurisdiction of the Court, to assure compliance with this Consent Order and for any other purpose relevant to this action, even if Defendants now or in the future reside or operate outside the jurisdiction of this Court;
- 9. Agree that they will not oppose enforcement of this Consent Order by alleging that it fails to comply with Rule 65(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and waives any objection based thereon;
- authority or control shall take any action or make any public statement denying, directly or indirectly, any Findings of Fact or Conclusions of Law in this Consent Order, or creating or tending to create the impression that this Consent Order is without a factual basis; provided, however, that nothing in this provision shall affect their: (a) testimonial obligations, or (b) right to take legal position in other proceedings to which the CFTC is not a party. Defendants shall undertake all steps necessary to ensure that their agents or employees under their authority or control understand and comply with this agreement; and

- Conclusions of Law in this Consent Order. Further, Defendants agree and intend that the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained in this Consent Order shall be taken as true and correct be given preclusive effect, without further proof, in the course of (a) any current or subsequent bankruptcy proceeding filed by, on behalf of, or against Defendants; (b) any proceeding pursuant to Section 8a of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 12a (2012), and/or Part 3 of the Regulations, 17 C.F.R. §§ 3.1 -3.75 (2014); and/or (c) any proceeding to enforce the terms of this Consent Order, including but not limited to proceedings to set the amount of restitution, disgorgement, and civil monetary penalty to be paid by Defendants in the above-captioned matter (subject to the provisions set forth herein with respect to Tulving Company).

 Defendants do not consent to the use of this Consent Order, or the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law in this Consent Order, as the sole basis for any other proceeding brought by the CFTC.
- 12. Agree to provide immediate notice to this Court and the CFTC by certified mail, in the manner required by paragraph 47 of Part V of this Consent Order, of any bankruptcy proceeding (other than the Bankruptcy Case) filed by, on behalf of, or against them, whether inside or outside the United States.
- 13. Agree that no provision of this Consent Order shall in any way limit or impair the ability of any other person or entity to seek any legal or equitable remedy against Defendants in any other proceeding.
- 14. Defendants consent to pay restitution, plus post-judgment interest, in an amount to be determined upon subsequent consent order or motion by the CFTC and/or hearing before this Court, All restitution ordered by this Court shall be paid by defendants to the Trustee in the

Bankruptcy Case for distribution by the Trustee to claims of Victims.

- 15. Defendants consent to pay disgorgement, plus post-judgment interest amount to be determined upon subsequent consent order or motion by the CFTC and/or hearing before this Court,
- 16. Defendants consent to pay a civil monetary penalty, plus post-judgment interest, in an amount to be determined upon subsequent consent order or motion by the CFTC and/or hearing before this Court,
- Court shall be accorded the priority under section 726(a)(4) of Title 11 of the United States Code ("Bankruptcy Code"), 11 U.S.C. § 726(a)(4), and shall accordingly be subordinated to claims of customers the Tulving Company and other senior classes of creditors, including general unsecured creditors, in the Tulving Company Bankruptcy Proceeding pursuant to Section 726(a)(4) of the Bankruptcy Code. Nevertheless, the imposition of any civil monetary penalty or disgorgement obligation shall constitute timely filed, allowed subordinated penalty claims of the CFTC in the Tulving Bankruptcy Proceeding payable to the extent any funds are left in the Tulving Company Bankruptcy estate after satisfaction of all customer claims, all general unsecured claims and all other claims senior to a penalty pursuant to section 726 of the Bankruptcy Code. For greater certainty, all contractually subordinated claims are senior to the civil monetary penalty and disgorgement Obligations unless otherwise expressly subordinated to penalties of the type described in Section 726(a)(4) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- 18. The issues of necessary relief pursuant to Section 6c of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1 (2012), regarding restitution for Defendants' defrauded investors, disgorgement and appropriate civil monetary penalties to be assessed against Defendants are still unresolved

and are hereby reserved for further determination by this Court.

II. FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

19. The Court finds that there is good cause for the entry of this Consent Order and that there is no just reason for delay. The Court therefore directs the entry of the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, permanent injunction and equitable relief pursuant to Section 6c of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1 (2012), as set forth herein. The findings and conclusions in this Consent Order are not binding on any other party to this action.

The parties agree and the Court hereby finds:

A. Findings of Fact

- a. The parties to this Consent Order
- 20. Plaintiff Commodity Futures Trading Commission is an independent federal regulatory agency that is charged by Congress with administering and enforcing the Act, 7 U.S.C. §§ 1-26 (2012), and the Regulations promulgated thereunder, 17 C.F.R. §§ 1.1 190.10 (2014).
- 21. Defendant Tulving Company, Inc. is a California corporation that is in the business of buying and selling precious metals, including gold, silver, platinum, and palladium in coin and bullion form. Tulving Company has never been registered with the CFTC.
- 22. Defendant Tulving Company, Inc. is a debtor under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code pending before the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California, Santa Ana Division, Case No. 14-11492.
- 23. Defendant Hannes Tulving, Jr. is a resident of Newport Beach, California.

 Tulving is the sole owner, president, and shareholder of Tulving Company. He directed the actions of Tulving Company at all relevant times. Tulving has never been registered with the

CFTC.

- b. <u>Defendants Offered Contracts of Sale of Commodities in Interstate Commerce</u> by Selling Precious Metals in Coin and Bullion
- 24. From in or about August 2013 through in or about January 2014 ("Relevant Period"), Defendants took approximately \$150 million of customer orders of gold, silver and platinum in interstate commerce, and at least \$15 million from customers was not delivered as specified.
- 25. As part of their fraud, Defendants used the mails or other instrumentalities of interstate commerce to receive funds from and send funds to customers.
 - c. <u>Defendants Fraudulently Solicited Customers by Making False and Misleading Representations and/or Omitting Material Facts</u>
- 26. During the Relevant Period, Defendants represented to members of the public that Tulving Company was a highly reputable precious metals firm that delivered precious metals to customers. Tulving Company held itself out as a stable, established dealer in precious metals through its website, www.tulving.com ("website"). The website stated that, from 1999 through March 30, 2013, Tulving Company bought and sold in excess of \$2.1 billion in precious metals. The website also represented that Tulving Company sold more than \$350 million in precious metals during 2012.
- 27. The website provided instructions for customers to purchase metals by sending funds to Tulving Company. Customers were instructed to send a check by mail to the address of Tulving Company or wire funds to bank accounts in the name of Tulving Company.

 During the Relevant Period, Defendants received at least \$15 million for the purpose of purchasing and selling precious metals in coin and bullion form where no merchandise was delivered.
 - 28. During the Relevant Period, at least 381 persons from locations throughout the

United States, including North Carolina, submitted orders with Tulving Company for the purchase of precious metals. During this time, Defendants received at least \$15 million from mail and wire transfers from these persons to purchase precious metals from Tulving Company.

- 29. During the Relevant Period, Defendants misrepresented, among other things, confirmation of purchase and sale transactions with some Tulving Company customers.

 Defendants falsely represented to some customers that precious metals would be purchased after receipt of customer funds and that precious metals would be shipped to customers.

 Defendants knew that their representations regarding the purchase of precious metals were false because they did not purchase precious metals on behalf of some customers and misappropriated a portion of customer funds.
- 30. During the Relevant Period, Defendants failed to disclose, and omitted, that they never purchased any precious metals on behalf of some Tulving customers. Defendants also failed to disclose, and omitted that some Tulving customer funds would be misappropriated.
 - d. Defendants Misappropriated Customer Funds
- 31. During the Relevant Period, Defendants misappropriated customer funds by, among other things, using customer funds to fulfill other customers' orders, paying debts of the company, and also returning the money to previous customers who did not receive their coins, all in furtherance of keeping the business going.
 - e. Tulving Acted as Controlling Person and Agent for Tulving Company
- 32. Tulving acted as the sole controlling person and agent of Tulving Company.

 Tulving was the sole shareholder and president of Tulving Company, and he acted solely on

behalf of Tulving Company. Tulving was the sole person responsible for making business decisions on behalf of Tulving Company and controlled the operations of Tulving Company.

B. Conclusions of Law

- a. Jurisdiction and Venue
- 33. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Section 6c of the Act, as amended, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1 (2012), which provides that whenever it shall appear to the CFTC that any person has engaged, is engaging, or is about to engage in any act or practice constituting a violation of any provision of the Act or any rule, regulation, or order promulgated thereunder, the CFTC may bring an action in the proper district court of the United States against such person to enjoin such act or practice, or to enforce compliance with the Act, or any rule, regulation or order thereunder.
- 34. Venue properly lies with the Court pursuant to Section 6c(e) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1(e) (2012), because Defendants are found in, inhabit, or transact business in the Western District of North Carolina, and the acts and practices in violation of the Act occurred, are occurring, or are about to occur, within this District.
 - b. Violations of Section 6(c)(1) of the Act, 7 U.S.C.§§9, 15, and Regulation 180.1(a), 17 C.F.R.§ 180.1: Fraud by Manipulative or Deceptive Devises or Contrivances
- 35. By the conduct described in paragraphs 1 through 32 above, Defendants cheated and defrauded, or attempted to cheat and defraud, and willfully deceived, or attempted to deceive, their customers by, among other things, knowingly or recklessly: (1) failing to disclose, and omitting, that Tulving Company did not use some customer funds to purchase precious metals; (2) failing to disclose, and omitting, that Tulving Company would not have sufficient precious metals to deliver to some customers; (3) misrepresenting that precious metals ordered by Tulving Company customers would be shipped and delivered; (4) issuing written

statements misrepresenting the ownership of precious metals; (5) failing to disclose, and omitting, that the funds paid by some Tulving Company customers would be misappropriated; (6) misappropriating some customer funds by, among other things, using some customer funds to fulfill other customers' orders, paying debts of the company, and also returning the money to previous customers who did not receive their coins; and (7) using the mails or other instrumentalities of interstate commerce as part of the fraud in violation of Section 6(c)(1) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. §§ 9, 15 (2012), and Regulation 180.l(a), 17 C.F.R. 180.l(a) (2013).

- 36. Tulving employed deceptive devises as described above, within the scope of his employment or office for Tulving Company. Therefore, Tulving Company is liable under Section 2(a)(1)(B) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 2(a)(1)(B) (2012), and Regulation 1.2, 17 C.F.R. § 1.2 (2013), as principal for its agent's acts, omissions or failures of the Act and Regulations.
- 37. Unless restrained and enjoined by this Court, there is a reasonable likelihood that Tulving will continue to engage in the acts and practices alleged in the Complaint and in similar acts and practices in violation of the Act and Regulations.

III. PERMANENT INJUNCTION

- 38. Based upon and in connection with the foregoing conduct, pursuant to Section 6c of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1 (2012), Defendants are permanently restrained, enjoined and prohibited from directly or indirectly:
 - a. Using or employing, or attempting to use or employ, in connection with any swap, or a contract of sale of any commodity in interstate commerce, or for future delivery on or subject to the rules of any registered entity, any manipulative or deceptive device or contrivance, in contravention Section 6(c)(l) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. §§ 9, 15 (2012), and Regulation 180.1(a), 17 C.F.R.

§ 180.l(a) (2013).

- 39. Defendants are also permanently restrained, enjoined and prohibited from directly or indirectly:
 - a. Trading on or subject to the rules of any registered entity (as that term is defined in Section 1a(40) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 1a(40) (2012));
 - b. Entering into any transactions involving "commodity interests" (as that term is defined in Regulation 1.3(yy), 17 C.F.R. § 1.3(yy) (2014)) for their own personal account or for any account in which they have a direct or indirect interest;
 - c. Having any commodity interests traded on their behalf;
 - d. Controlling or directing the trading for or on behalf of any other person or entity, whether by power of attorney or otherwise, in any account involving commodity interests;
 - e. Soliciting, receiving or accepting any funds from any person for the purpose of purchasing or selling any commodity interests;
 - f. Applying for registration or claiming exemption from registration with the Commission in any capacity, and engaging in any activity requiring such registration or exemption from registration with the Commission, except as provided for in Regulation 4.14(a)(9), 17 C.F.R. § 4.14(a)(9) (2014); and/or
 - g. Acting as a principal (as that term is defined in Regulation 3.1(a), 17 C.F.R. § 3.1(a) (2014)), agent or any other officer or employee of any person (as that term is defined in Section 1a(38) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 1a(38) (2012)), registered, exempted from registration or required to be registered with the Commission

except as provided for in Regulation 4.14(a)(9), 17 C.F.R. § 4.14(a)(9) (2014).

IV. STATUTORY AND EQUITABLE RELIEF

- 40. Defendants shall pay restitution, plus post-judgment interest, to each defrauded customer, if ordered by the Court, and the payment of such restitution and interest by defendants shall be made to the Trustee in the Bankruptcy Case for distribution to Tulving Company customers.
- 41. Defendants shall pay disgorgement, plus post-judgment interest, to the CFTC, if ordered by the Court, provided that the payment of such disgorgement and interest by the Tulving Company shall be subordinated to the payment of all claims of Tulving Company customers in accordance with paragraph 17 above.
- 42. Defendants shall pay a civil monetary penalty, plus post-judgment interest, to the CFTC, if ordered by the Court, provided that the payment of such penalties and interest by the Tulving Company shall be subordinated to the payment of all claims of Tuling Company customers in accordance in accordance with paragraph 17 above.
- monetary penalty and the procedures for payment and distribution of these monetary sanctions by further order upon: (1) motion of the parties submitting to the Court a proposed consent order setting out their agreement on the amounts of restitution, disgorgement and civil monetary penalty to be paid by Defendants in this matter; (2) motion by the CFTC; and/or (3) hearing before this Court, provided in all events that the payment of disgorgement and civil monetary penalty by the Tulving Company shall be subordinated to the payment of all claims of Tulving customers in accordance with paragraph 17 above.
 - 44. In connection with any CFTC motion for restitution, disgorgement and/or civil

monetary penalties, and at any hearing held on such a motion: (a) Defendants will be precluded from arguing that they did not violate the federal laws as alleged in this Consent Order; (b)

Defendants may not challenge the validity of their consents and agreements herein or this

Consent Order; (c) solely for the purposes of such motion, the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law in this Consent Order shall be accepted as and deemed true by the Court; and (d) the

Court may determine the issues raised in the motion on the basis of affidavits, declarations, excerpts of sworn deposition or investigative testimony, witness testimony, and documentary evidence, without regard to the standards for summary judgment contained in Rule 56(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. In connection with the CFTC's motion for restitution, disgorgement and/or civil monetary penalties, the parties may take discovery, including discovery from appropriate non-parties.

Defendants shall cooperate fully and expeditiously with the CFTC, including the CFTC's Division of Enforcement, in any current or future investigation, civil litigation or administrative matter related to the subject matter of this action. As part of such cooperation, Defendants shall comply, to the full extent of their abilities, promptly and truthfully with any inquiries or requests for information including but not limited to, requests for production of documents and authentication of documents, shall provide assistance at any trial, proceeding, or investigation related to the subject matter of this action, including but not limited to, requests for testimony, depositions, and/or interviews. Should the CFTC file any additional actions related to the subject matter of this action, Defendants are directed to appear in the judicial district in which such action is pending, or in a suitable judicial district agreed to by the parties, to provide deposition testimony and trial testimony should such testimony be necessary.

V. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

- 46. Notice: All notices required to be given by any provision in this Consent Order shall be sent certified mail, return receipt requested, as follows:
 - a. Notice to the CFTC:
 - Director, Division of Enforcement U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission 1155 21st Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20581
 - b. Notice to Defendants Tulving Company, Inc. and Hannes Tulving, Jr.:
 - i. James F. Wyatt, IIIWyatt & Blake, LLP435 East Morehead StreetCharlotte, NC 28202
 - c. With a copy to the Chapter 7 Trustee appointed by the Bankruptcy Court in the Bankruptcy Case:
 - i. R. Todd Neilson BRG, LLP 2049 Century Park East, Suite 2525 Los Angeles, CA 90067

All such notices to the CFTC shall reference the name and docket number of this action.

- 47. Entire Agreement and Amendments: This Consent Order incorporates all of the terms and conditions of the settlement among the parties hereto to date. Nothing shall serve to amend or modify this Consent Order in any respect whatsoever, unless: (a) reduced to writing; (b) signed by all parties hereto; and (c) approved by order of this Court.
- 48. Invalidation: If any provision of this Consent Order or if the application of any provision or circumstance is held invalid, then the remainder of this Consent Order and the application of the provision to any other person or circumstance shall not be affected by the

holding.

- 49. Waiver: The failure of any party to this Consent Order or of any customer at any time to require performance of any provision of this Consent Order shall in no manner affect the right of the party or customer at a later time to enforce the same or any other provision of this Consent Order. No waiver in one or more instances of the breach of any provision contained in this Consent Order shall be deemed to be or construed as a further or continuing waiver of such breach or waiver of the breach of any other provision of this Consent Order.
- 50. Waiver of Service, and Acknowledgement: Defendants waive service of this Consent Order and agree that entry of this Consent Order by the Court and filing with the Clerk of the Court will constitute notice to the Defendants of its terms and conditions. Defendants further agree to provide counsel for the Commission, within thirty (30) days after this Consent Order is filed with the Clerk of Court, with an affidavit or declaration stating that Defendants have received and read a copy of this Consent Order.
- 51. Continuing Jurisdiction of this Court: This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this action in order to implement and carry out the terms of all orders and decrees, including orders setting the appropriate amounts of restitution, disgorgement and civil monetary penalty, that may be entered herein, to entertain any suitable application or motion for additional relief within the jurisdiction of the Court, to assure compliance with this Consent Order and for any other purpose relevant to this action.
- 52. Injunctive and Equitable Relief Provisions: The injunctive and equitable relief provisions of this Consent Order shall be binding upon Defendants, upon any person under their authority or control, and upon any person who receives actual notice of this Consent Order,

Case 8:14-bk-11492-ES Doc 666 Filed 05/31/17 Entered 05/31/17 17:27:17 Desc Main Document Page 44 of 50

by personal service, e-mail, facsimile or otherwise insofar as he or she is acting in active

concert or participation with Defendants.

53. Authority: Entry into this Consent Order by Hannes Tulving, Jr. on behalf of

Tulving Company is subject to the authorization of the United States Bankruptcy Court in the

Bankruptcy Case.

54. Counterparts and Facsimile Execution: This Consent Order may be executed in

two or more counterparts, all of which shall be considered one and the same agreement and

shall become effective when one or more counterparts have been signed by each of the parties

hereto and delivered by facsimile, e-mail, or otherwise to the other party, it being understood

that all parties need not sign the same counterpart. Any counterpart or other signature to this

Consent Order that is delivered by any means shall be deemed for all purposes as constituting

good and valid execution and delivery by such party of this Consent Order.

55. Defendants understand that the terms of the Consent Order are enforceable

through contempt proceedings, and that, in any such proceedings they may not challenge the

validity of this Consent sent Order.

56. Nothing in this Order prevents Defendants from buying or selling on a wholesale

basis legal tender third party independently certified gold, silver, platinum or palladium coins.

Defendants will not be buying or selling commodity gold, silver, platinum or palladium bars.

57. There being no just reason for delay, the Clerk of the Court is hereby directed to

enter this Consent Order Of Permanent Injunction And Other Relief Against The Tulving

Company, Inc. and Hannes Tulving, Jr.

Signed: January 4, 2016

Robert J. Conrad, Jr.

United States District Judge

Case 3:15-cv-00424-RJC-DSC Document 11 Filed 01/05/16 Page 16 of 16

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is:

10100 Santa Monica Boulevard, 13th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90067

A true and correct copy of the foregoing document NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR ORDER (A) APPROVING AND AUTHORIZING THE TRUSTEE AND DEBTOR TO ENTER INTO SUPPLEMENTAL CONSENT ORDER ASSESSING RESTITUTION AND CIVIL MONETARY PENALTY AGAINST DEFENDANTS THE TULVING COMPANY, INC. AND HANNES TULVING, JR. (B) AUTHORIZING HANNES TULVING, JR. TO EXECUTE THE SUPPLEMENTAL CONSENT ORDER WITH THE UNITED STATES COMMODITY FUTURE TRADING COMMISSION ON BEHALF OF THE DEBTOR, AND (C) FOR RELATED RELIEF PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 105 AND 362 OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT THEREOF; DECLARATION OF WENETA M. A. KOSMALA will be served or was served (a) on the judge in chambers in the form and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d); and (b) in the manner stated below:

1. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF): Pursuant to controlling General Orders and LBR, the foregoing document will be served by the court via NEF and hyperlink to the document. On May 31, 2017, I checked the CM/ECF docket for this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding and determined that the following persons are on the Electronic Mail Notice List to receive NEF transmission at the email addresses stated below:

> Service information continued on attached page \boxtimes

2. SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL:

On May 31, 2017, I served the following persons and/or entities at the last known addresses in this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope in the United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, and addressed as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that mailing to the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.

> X Service information continued on attached page

> > /s/Janice G. Washington

3. SERVED BY PERSONAL DELIVERY, OVERNIGHT MAIL, FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION OR EMAIL (state method for each person or entity served): Pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 5 and/or controlling LBR, on May 31, 2017, I served the following persons and/or entities by personal delivery, overnight mail service, or (for those who consented in writing to such service method), by facsimile transmission and/or email as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that personal delivery on, or overnight mail to, the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.

Via Federal Express

- The Honorable Erithe A. Smith 22
 - United States Bankruptcy Court Central District of California
- 23 Ronald Reagan Federal Building and Courthouse
- 411 West Fourth Street, Suite 5040 / Courtroom 5A
- 24 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4593

May 31, 2017

Service information continued on attached page 25

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct. 26

Janice G. Washington

Printed Name Signature Date

28

27

1 1. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF): 2 Wesley H Avery on behalf of Consumer Privacy Ombudsman Wesley H Avery 3 wamiracle6(a)yahoo.com, wavery@rpmlaw.com 4 Candice Bryner on behalf of Interested Party 5 Candice Bryner candice@brynerlaw.com 6 Philip Burkhardt on behalf of Other 7 Professional Karen Duddlesten phil@burkhardtandlarson.com, 8 stacey@burkhardtandlarson.com 9 Stephen L Burton on behalf of Attorney Stephen L. Burton 10 steveburtonlaw@aol.com 11 Frank Cadigan on behalf of U.S. Trustee United States Trustee (SA) 12 frank.cadigan@usdoj.gov 13 Linda F Cantor, ESQ on behalf of Other Professional Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones 14 LLP lcantor@pszjlaw.com, lcantor@pszjlaw.com 15 Linda F Cantor, ESQ on behalf of Trustee R. 16 Todd Neilson (TR) lcantor@pszjlaw.com, lcantor@pszjlaw.com 17 David L Gibbs on behalf of Creditor Kenneth 18 W Stach david.gibbs@gibbslaw.com, 19 ecf@gibbslaw.com 20 Nancy S Goldenberg on behalf of U.S. Trustee United States Trustee (SA) 21 nancy.goldenberg@usdoj.gov 22 Lawrence J Hilton on behalf of Creditor Jeffrey Roth 23 lhilton@oneil-llp.com, ssimmons@oneilllp.com;kdonahue@oneil-llp.com 24 John H Kim on behalf of Creditor Ford Motor 25 Credit Company LLC jkim@cookseylaw.com 26 R. Todd Neilson (TR) 27 tneilson@brg-expert.com, sgreenan@brgexpert.com;tneilson@ecf.epiqsystems.com;ntr 28

Jason S Pomerantz on behalf of Trustee R. Todd Neilson (TR) jspomerantz@pszjlaw.com, jspomerantz@pszjlaw.com

Nanette D Sanders on behalf of Creditor Levon Gugasian becky@ringstadlaw.com

Richard C Spencer on behalf of Interested Party Courtesy NEF rspencer@rspencerlaw.com

United States Trustee (SA)gov

DOCS_LA:305974.1 59935/002

oszak@brg-expert.com

1 2. SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL 2 Debtor The Tulving Company Inc. 3 2049 Century Park East, Suite 2525 4 Los Angeles, CA 90067-3225 5 Counsel for Debtor Andrew S Bisom The Bisom Law Group 6 8001 Irvine Center Drive, Suite 1170 7 Irvine, CA 92618 8 James F. Wyatt, III Wyatt & Blake, LLP 435 East Morehead Street 9 Charlotte, NC 28202 10 Laurence P Nokes on behalf of Interested Party John Frankel 11 Nokes & Quinn 410 Broadway St Ste 200 12 Laguna Beach, CA 92651 13 Kevin Zolot 14 Assistant U.S. Attorney United States Attorney's Office 15 Western District North Carolina 227 West Trade Street 16 Charlotte, NC 28202 17 Benjamin Bain-Creed Assistant United States Attorney 18 Florida Bar #0021436 Suite 1650, Carillon Building 19 227 West Trade Street Charlotte, North Carolina 28202 20 Accountants for Landlord 21 Brent Murdoch Murdoch & Morris, LLP 22 114 Pacifica, Ste. 320 Irvine, CA 92618 23 **Interested Party** 24 Frve & Hsieh Douglas J Frye Esquire 25 24955 Pacific Coast Highway # A201 Malibu, CA 90265 26 27 28

Counsel for Creditor Levon Gugasian Nanette D. Sanders, Esq. Ringstad & Sanders LLP 2030 Main Street Suite 1600 Irvine, CA 92614

Harlene Miller, Esq. Harlene Miller Law 17910 Sky Park Circle, Suite 105 Irvine, CA 92614

On the Rocks Jewelry & Rare Coins Attn: David Halpin and Desirea Sloan 207 N. El Camino Real San Clemente, CA 92672

Richard P. Foelber Chief, Office of Cooperative Enforcement U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission 1155 21st Street, NW Washington, DC 20581 1

PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is:

10100 Santa Monica Boulevard, 13th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90067

4 5

6

7

3

A true and correct copy of the foregoing document NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR ORDER (A) APPROVING AND AUTHORIZING THE TRUSTEE AND DEBTOR TO ENTER INTO SUPPLEMENTAL CONSENT ORDER ASSESSING RESTITUTION AND CIVIL MONETARY PENALTY AGAINST DEFENDANTS THE TULVING COMPANY, INC. AND HANNES TULVING, JR. (B) AUTHORIZING HANNES TULVING, JR. TO EXECUTE THE SUPPLEMENTAL CONSENT ORDER WITH THE UNITED STATES COMMODITY FUTURE TRADING COMMISSION ON BEHALF OF THE DEBTOR, AND (C) FOR RELATED RELIEF PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 105 AND 362 OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT THEREOF; DECLARATION OF WENETA M. A. KOSMALA will be served or was served (a) on the judge in chambers in the form and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d); and (b) in the manner stated below:

9

10

11

8

1. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF): Pursuant to controlling General Orders and LBR, the foregoing document will be served by the court via NEF and hyperlink to the document. On May 31, 2017, I checked the CM/ECF docket for this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding and determined that the following persons are on the Electronic Mail Notice List to receive NEF transmission at the email addresses stated below:

 \boxtimes

12

13

14

15

16

Service information continued on attached page

2. SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL:

On <u>May 31, 2017</u>, I served the following persons and/or entities at the last known addresses in this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope in the United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, and addressed as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that mailing to the judge <u>will</u> <u>be completed</u> no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.

17

Service information continued on attached page

18

19

20

3. <u>SERVED BY PERSONAL DELIVERY, OVERNIGHT MAIL, FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION OR EMAIL</u> (state method for each person or entity served): Pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 5 and/or controlling LBR, on <u>May 31, 2017</u>, I served the following persons and/or entities by personal delivery, overnight mail service, or (for those who consented in writing to such service method), by facsimile transmission and/or email as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that personal delivery on, or overnight mail to, the judge <u>will be completed</u> no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.

21

Via Federal Express

22 | The Honorable Erithe A. Smith

United States Bankruptcy Court - Central District of California

23 | Ronald Reagan Federal Building and Courthouse

411 West Fourth Street, Suite 5040 / Courtroom 5A

24 | Santa Ana, CA 92701-4593

25

Service information continued on attached page

26

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

27

May 31, 2017

Janice G. Washington

/s/Janice G. Washington

Date Printed Name

Signature

28

1 1. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF): 2 Wesley H Avery on behalf of Consumer Privacy Ombudsman Wesley H Avery 3 wamiracle6(a)yahoo.com, wavery@rpmlaw.com 4 Candice Bryner on behalf of Interested Party 5 Candice Bryner candice@brynerlaw.com 6 Philip Burkhardt on behalf of Other 7 Professional Karen Duddlesten phil@burkhardtandlarson.com. 8 stacey@burkhardtandlarson.com 9 Stephen L Burton on behalf of Attorney Stephen L. Burton 10 steveburtonlaw@aol.com 11 Frank Cadigan on behalf of U.S. Trustee United States Trustee (SA) 12 frank.cadigan@usdoj.gov 13 Linda F Cantor, ESQ on behalf of Other Professional Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones 14 LLP lcantor@pszjlaw.com, lcantor@pszjlaw.com 15 Linda F Cantor, ESQ on behalf of Trustee R. 16 Todd Neilson (TR) lcantor@pszjlaw.com, lcantor@pszjlaw.com 17 David L Gibbs on behalf of Creditor Kenneth 18 W Stach david.gibbs@gibbslaw.com, 19 ecf@gibbslaw.com 20 Nancy S Goldenberg on behalf of U.S. Trustee United States Trustee (SA) 21 nancy.goldenberg@usdoj.gov 22 Lawrence J Hilton on behalf of Creditor Jeffrey Roth 23 lhilton@oneil-llp.com, ssimmons@oneilllp.com;kdonahue@oneil-llp.com 24 John H Kim on behalf of Creditor Ford Motor 25 Credit Company LLC ikim@cookseylaw.com 26 R. Todd Neilson (TR) 27 tneilson@brg-expert.com, sgreenan@brgexpert.com;tneilson@ecf.epiqsystems.com;ntr 28 oszak@brg-expert.com

Jason S Pomerantz on behalf of Trustee R. Todd Neilson (TR) jspomerantz@pszjlaw.com, ispomerantz@pszjlaw.com

Nanette D Sanders on behalf of Creditor Levon Gugasian becky@ringstadlaw.com

Richard C Spencer on behalf of Interested Party Courtesy NEF rspencer@rspencerlaw.com

United States Trustee (SA)gov

DOCS LA:305974.1 59935/002

1	2 SEDVED DV UNITED STATES MAII
2	2. SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL
3	Debtor The Tulving Company Inc. 2049 Century Park East, Suite 2525
4	Los Angeles, CA 90067-3225
5	Counsel for Debtor Andrew S Bisom
6	The Bisom Law Group
7	8001 Irvine Center Drive, Suite 1170 Irvine, CA 92618
8	James F. Wyatt, III Wyatt & Blake, LLP
9	435 East Morehead Street Charlotte, NC 28202
10	Laurence P Nokes on behalf of Interested
11	Party John Frankel Nokes & Quinn
12	410 Broadway St Ste 200 Laguna Beach, CA 92651
13	
14	Kevin Zolot Assistant U.S. Attorney
15	United States Attorney's Office Western District North Carolina
16	227 West Trade Street Charlotte, NC 28202
17	Benjamin Bain-Creed
18	Assistant United States Attorney Florida Bar #0021436
19	Suite 1650, Carillon Building
20	227 West Trade Street Charlotte, North Carolina 28202
21	Accountants for Landlord Brent Murdoch
22	Murdoch & Morris, LLP
23	114 Pacifica, Ste. 320 Irvine, CA 92618
24	Interested Party Frye & Hsieh
25	Douglas J Frye Esquire 24955 Pacific Coast Highway # A201
26	Malibu, CA 90265
27	
28	

Counsel for Creditor Levon Gugasian Nanette D. Sanders, Esq. Ringstad & Sanders LLP 2030 Main Street Suite 1600 Irvine, CA 92614

Harlene Miller, Esq. Harlene Miller Law 17910 Sky Park Circle, Suite 105 Irvine, CA 92614

On the Rocks Jewelry & Rare Coins Attn: David Halpin and Desirea Sloan 207 N. El Camino Real San Clemente, CA 92672

Richard P. Foelber Chief, Office of Cooperative Enforcement U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission 1155 21st Street, NW Washington, DC 20581